

925-929 North Main Street Post Office Box 910 Somerset, KY 42502-0910 Telephone 606-678-4121 Toll Free 800-264-5112 Fax 606-679-8279 www.skrecc.com

January 12, 2009

HAND DELIVERED

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Re: PSC Case No. 2008-00424

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Commission in the above-referenced case an original and five copies of the responses of South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request, dated December 19, 2008.

Very truly yours,

Darrell Saunders

South Kentucky RECC Attorney

Enclosures

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| IN | THE | MA | TTE | $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{O}$ | \mathbf{F} : |
|----|-----|----|-----|-------------------------|----------------|
|----|-----|----|-----|-------------------------|----------------|

| APPLICATION OF SOUTH KENTUCKY RURAL |) | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION TO |) | |
| PASS-THROUGH AN INCREASE OF ITS |) | CASE NO. |
| WHOLESALE POWER SUPPLIER PURSUANT |) | 2008-00424 |
| TO KRS 278.455(2) |) | |
| CERTIFICATE | | |

STATE OF KENTUCKY)

COUNTY OF CLARK)

James C. Lamb, Jr., being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of the responses of South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation to the Public Service Commission Staff Initial Data Request in the above-referenced case dated December 19, 2008, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this 12th day of January, 2009.

Notar Public

My Commission expires:

Lecentrer 8, 2009

Ju Choj.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| IN | TH | T I | VI | ΔП | דידיי | \mathbf{R} | OF |
|-----|-------|--------------|-----|----|-------|--------------|----|
| 111 | 1 3 2 | ≇ . ∣ | T 8 | | | | |

| APPLICATION OF SOUTH KENTUCKY RURAL |) | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION TO |) | |
| PASS-THROUGH AN INCREASE OF ITS |) | CASE NO. |
| WHOLESALE POWER SUPPLIER PURSUANT |) | 2008-00424 |
| TO KRS 278.455(2) |) | |
| CERTIFICATE | | |
| STATE OF KENTUCKY) | | |
|) | | |
| COUNTY OF CLARK) | | |

Ann F. Wood, being duly sworn, states that she has supervised the preparation of the responses of South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation to the Public Service Commission Staff Initial Data Request in the above-referenced case dated December 19, 2008, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this quad day of January, 2009

My Commission expires:

December 8, 2009

ann F. Wood

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| APPLICATION OF SOUTH KENTUCKY RURAL |) | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION TO |) | CASE NO. |
| PASS-THROUGH AN INCREASE OF ITS |) | 2008-00424 |
| WHOLESALE POWER SUPPLIER PURSUANT |) | |
| TO KRS 278.455(2) |) | |

RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST TO SOUTH KENTUCKY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION DATED DECEMBER 19, 2008

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 1

RESPONSIBLE PERSON:

Ann F. Wood

COMPANY:

South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative

Corporation

Request 1. Provide the workpapers, spreadsheets, etc. which show the calculation of the increase, by individual wholesale rate schedule, in South Kentucky's cost of power from East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc that South Kentucky is proposing to flow through to its customers. Include a brief narrative description of the data being provided.

Please see pages 2 - 4 of this response. EKPC multiplied the projected wholesale billing units for all members for the test year by the EKPC existing rates to determine revenue before the rate increase. The revenue increase was then proportioned to EKPC rate classes in order to develop proposed rates. After the proposed wholesale rates were developed, the new rates were applied to the same projected billing units proportionally among all rate classes. These rates were then applied to the projected wholesale billing units of each member system, in order to determine their proportionate share of EKPC's revenue requirements.

Please note that the total wholesale increase to South Kentucky of \$6,940,909 shown on pages 2 to 4 of this response is higher than the retail increase of \$6,940,852 included in the pass-through application filed on October 31, 2008 (Exhibit 3, Page 1 of 8.) The difference represents a slight under recovery as a result of rounding.

Page 2 of 4

| Rate E | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|--|--------------|---|---|--------------------|--|------------------|---|
| Option 2 | | | Cui | Current Rate | te | | | <u>α</u> | Pro Rata | Cofeellated |
| Description | Billing Units | | Rate | ឌ | Calculated Billings | Description | Billing Units | Rate | | Billings |
| Metering Point Charge All Customers | 449 | w | 125.00 | c/s | 56,125.00 | Metering Point Charge All Customers | 449 | \$ 138.00 | ь | 61,962.00 |
| Substation charges Substation 1,000 - 2,999 kVa Substation 3,000 - 7,499 kVa Substation 7,500 - 14,999 kVa Substation > 15,000 kVa | 36 336 65 65 | <i>ம</i> ம ம ம | 944.00 2,373.00 2,855.00 4,605.00 | w w w w | 85,428.00 959,280.00 299,325.00 1,344,033.00 | Substation charges Substation 1,000 - 2,9 Substation 3,000 - 7,4 Substation 7,500 - 14, Substation > 15,000 k | 336 65 | \$ 1,041.00 \$ 2,617.00 \$ 3,149.00 \$ 5,079.00 | — • • • • • • | 94,212,00 1,058,064,00 330,135,00 |
| | | | | | | | | | es. | 1,482,411.00 |
| Demand Charge All Kw | 2,770,838 | u ₃ | 5.22 | cs | 14,463,774.36 | Demand Charge | | | 6 | 00 900 |
| Energy Charge | KWh 102 462 244 | U | 0.042470 | U? | 8 173 871.50 | All Kw | 2,770,838 | 0/ii | P) | 9 13,300,020.00 |
| On-Peak (April - July) Off-Peak (April - July) On-Peak (Aug - March) | 143,146,493 399,435,219 | ശശ | 0.034904 | ഗഗ | 4,996,385.19 | | KWh 591,897,463 | \$ 0.046844 | \$ 27 | \$ 27,726,844.76 |
| Off-Peak (Aug - March) | 418,260,996 | S | 0.034904 | တ | 14,598,981.80 44,733,252.25 | Off-Peak | 1,153,304,952 | \$ 0.038489 | \$ 46 | \$ 49,340,471.68 |
| FAC ES | | 69 | 0.007604 | s, s, | 8,769,730.86 9,447,773.89 | FAC | | | w w | 8,769,730.86 9,447,773.89 |
| Total Billings | | | | လ | 78,814,689.35 | Total Billings | | | \$ 88 | \$ 85,062,376.30 |
| | | | | | | Increase/(Decrease) | | | vs | 6,247,686.95 |
| | | | | | | Percent Change | | | | 7.93% |

EKPC South Kentucky Rate E Option 2

| | | | | | | | | | | *************************************** |
|---|------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|----------|---|
| | | | Curr | Current Rate | Ф | | | | Pro Rata | E) |
| Description | Billing Units | | Rate | Calcu | Calculated Billings | Description | Billing Units | Rate | | Calculated Billings |
| Demand Charge Base kW Excess kW | 126,071 | w | 6.22 8.65 | w w | 784,161.62 8,658.65 | Demand Charge Base kW Excess kW | 126,071 1,001 | \$ 6.86 \$ 9.54 | vs vs | 864,847.06 9,549.54 |
| Energy Charge kWh All kWh | /h 64,937,260 | w | 0.033455 | us. | 2,172,476.03 | Energy Charge All kWh | kWh 64,937,260 | \$ 0.036901 | ·v> | \$ 2,396,249.83 |
| - Advantation of the Control of the | 64,937,260 | | | b> | 2,965,296.30 | ALL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF | | | s. | \$ 3,270,646.43 |
| FAC | | S | 0.007604 | ഗ ഗ | 493,782.93 471,126.59 | FAC | | | vs vs | 493,782.93 471,126.59 |
| Total Billings | | | | တ | 3,930,205.82 | Total Billings | | | ω∥ | \$ 4,235,555.95 |
| | | | | | | Increase/(Decrease) | es | | w | 305,350.13 |
| | | | | | | Percent Change | | | | 7.77% |

7.79%

Percent Change

| EKPC South Kentucky Rate C | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----|
| | | L | Cur | Current Rate | late | | | | Pro Rata | ľ | |
| Description | Billing Units | | Rate | Ö | Calculated Billings | Description | Billing Units | Rate | ø. | Calculated Billings | |
| Demand Charge Billing kW | 170,649 | 69 | 6.22 | €9 | 1,061,436.78 | Demand Charge Billing kW | 170,649 | \$ 6.86 | | \$ 1,170,652.14 | |
| Energy Charge All kWh | kWh 80,863,680 | မှာ | 0.033455 | છ | 2,705,294.41 | Energy Charge All KWh | kWh 80,863,680 | \$ 0.036901 | | \$ 2,983,950.66 | |
| | 089'898'08 | | | 69 | 3,766,731.19 | | | | \$ | \$ 4,154,602.80 | |
| FAC ES | | ₩ | 0.007604 13.62% | 69 69 | 614,887.42 596,776.46 | FAC | | | и и | 614,887.42 596,776.46 | |
| Total Billings | | | | €9 | 4,978,395.07 | Total Billings | | | မှာ | 5,366,266.67 | ha. |
| | | | | | | Increase/(Decrease) | ase) | | ₩ | 387,871.60 | |

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 2

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: James C. Lamb Jr./Ann F. Wood

COMPANY: South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative

Corporation

Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application. The billing analysis shows various rate schedules with escalation percentages applied to the billing determinants. Explain the need for the escalation percentages and provide workpapers, spreadsheets, etc. for the calculation of the percentages, as well as a narrative explanation of how the percentages were determined.

Response 2. Since EKPC is using a forecasted test period, the increase is calculated based on projected billing determinants. In order to appropriately match retail rates to the forecasted test year used for wholesale rates, an escalation factor was used. The escalated data was applied to Residential and Small Commercial classes only due to the wide variance and unpredictable nature of the Large Commercial/Industrial class.

The Attachment shows the escalation percentages calculated by the Resource Planning Department of EKPC and the supporting calculations.

EKPC prepares a load forecast by working jointly with its member systems in preparing their individual load forecasts. Factors considered in preparing the forecasts include national, regional, and local economic performance, appliance saturations and

efficiencies, population and housing trends, service area industrial development, electric price, household income, and weather. Each member system reviews the preliminary forecast for reasonability.

The general steps followed by EKPC in developing its load forecast are summarized as follows:

EKPC subscribes to Global Insight, Inc., in order to analyze regional economic performance. Global Insight provides EKPC projections for population, employment, and income as well as other variables.

EKPC prepares a preliminary forecast for each of its member systems for each classification using monthly data as reported on the Rural Utilities Services (RUS) Form 7, which contains publicly available retail sales data for member systems. These include: residential, seasonal, small commercial, public buildings, large commercial, and other. EKPC's sales to member systems are then determined by adding distribution losses to total retail sales. Seasonal peak demands are determined by applying peak factors for heating, cooling, and water heating to energy.

The supplementary spreadsheets in the Attachment contain the data resulting from the above-described process. The growth rates for energy sales, winter peak demand, and customers are based upon the monthly forecasts for the test period.

Escalation - South Kentucky

| Escalation - MWI- | I - Energy |
|------------------------|------------|
| Time Period | MWH Sales |
| May 2008 to April 2009 | 1,211,790 |
| May 2009 to April 2010 | 1,246,936 |
| Percent change | 2.9% |

| Escalation - MW - Demand | *** |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Time Period | |
| Winter 2009 - 2010 | 405.3 |
| Winter 2010 - 2011 | 417.4 |
| Percent change | 3.0% |

| Escalation - Custo | mers - Residential |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Annual |
| Time Period | Customers |
| 2009 | 61,794 |
| 2010 | 62,612 |
| | |
| Percent change | 1.3% |

| Escalation - Customers - Small Commercial | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| | Average Annual | | |
| Time Period | Customers | | |
| 2009 | 4,938 | | |
| 2010 | 5,022 | | |
| Percent change | 1.7% | | |

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood

COMPANY: South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative

Corporation

Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application, page 1 of 8. The billing analysis shows that South Kentucky receives no revenue from Casey Stone Company; however, the public notice provided in Exhibit 5 shows an increase in the rate applicable to this customer. Explain why it is necessary to adjust this rate.

Response 3. There is no current customer on the rate established for Casey Stone Company. However, this rate was increased by the same percentage as the other rates in case the contract becomes active at a later date.

| | • | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u>*</u> | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 4

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood

COMPANY: South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative

Corporation

Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application, page 5 of 8. For the energy charge billing determinant calculations (First 400kWh and All remaining kWh), explain why the billing units multiplied by the escalation factor does not equal the billing determinants shown on this schedule. If a correction is required, please file a copy of all schedules that would require updating.

Response 4. The first 400 kWh times the 5,000 kW for twelve months equals 24,000,000 kWh. Only the first 24,000,000 kWh would be charged at this rate according to the tariff. The kWh exceeding the 24,000,000 are included in the escalation calculation in the section labeled "All remaining kWh."

COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL DATA REQUEST DATED 12/19/08 REQUEST 5

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood

COMPANY: South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative

Corporation

Refer to Exhibit 3 of the application, page 8 of 8. Under the Outdoor Lighting Service section, explain why the fourth line down, "M/Vapor Sec L – Metered" does not show any test year revenue. If a correction is required, please file a copy of all schedules that would require updating.

Response 5. The calculation was inadvertently omitted. Please see the attachment for the revised page 8 of 8 of Exhibit 3. This makes no significant difference in the outcome of the analysis.

| les Analysis |
|--------------|
| æ |
| hing |
| ij |
| - |
| ntrck |
| ₹ |

| % Increase | 7.53% 7.52% 7.53% 7.53% | 6.47% 6.40% | 7.58% 7.51% 7.51% 7.53% 7.53% 7.53% 7.53% 7.49% 7.49% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% 7.52% | 7.13% | 7.54% 7.54% 7.55% | 6.79% |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Increase in Rate | 1,968.72 561.96 1,553.24 787.08 377.52 | 5,248.52 0.57 | 31.80 48.72 69.96 670.39 670.39 92.61 24.60 733.28 733.28 737.52 86.04 73.70 73.70 55.00 69.12 | 4,114.83 | 134,560.12 6,543.89 6,5023 29,307.14 21,922.1 64.68 23.52 5,834.71 6,712.11 6,712.11 6,712.11 6,712.11 827.80 92.46 3,923.13 327.25 67.77 882.32 24.84 | 0.70 |
| % of Total | 37.50% 10.71% 29.59% 15.00% 7.19% | | 0.77% 1.17% 1.183% 1.69% 16.26% 6.09% 17.89% 2.25% 6.09% 1.7.89% 2.64% 1.3.3% 2.09% 1.3.3% 2.09% 1.3.3% 2.16% 1.1.79% 1.1.79% 1.1.79% | | 68.92% 3.35% 0.11% 15.01% 15.01% 15.01% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% | |
| Proposed Revenue | 28,129,98 8,034,69 22,193,41 11,253,37 5,394,18 75,005,63 | 4,815.00 6,534,00 86,354,63 9,48 | 452.40 1,041.60 6,963.84 995.28 9,566.88 1,331.74 3,584.00 10,527.00 10,527.00 1,527.39 7,844.64 1,220.48 1,230 | 1,268.00 1,729.00 61,834,53 | 1,319,982.16 93,372.52 2,985.28 92,881.19 418,173.62 31,288.28 93,600.52 4,891.40 991.20 7,550.25 27,552.00 10,460.00 1,321.24 56,175.00 1,321.24 56,175.00 1,321.24 56,175.00 1,321.24 56,175.00 1,321.24 56,175.00 1,321.24 56,175.00 1,321.24 1,321 | 2,919,514.56 126,990.00 172,325.00 3,218,829.56 11.07 |
| Proposed Rate/ Mo | 7,43 12.01 7,43 12.01 7,43 | | 7.54 14.88 14.88 7.54 20.98 20.98 14.50 23.17 16.62 20.24 20.24 20.24 20.24 20.24 20.24 20.24 20.24 20.58 20.58 | 1 2 | 9.56 9.56 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.9 | |
| % of Total | 37.50% 10.71% 29.59% 15.00% 7.19% | | 0.77% 1.63% 1.63% 16.25% 6.09% 17.89% 2.264% 13.33% 2.04% 1.79% 1.79% 1.27% 1.34% 1.34% 1.34% | | 68.92% 3.35% 15.21% 1.21% 1.21% 0.03% 0.01% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% 0.03% | |
| Test Yr Revenue | 26,161.26 7,472.73 20,640.17 10,466.29 5.016.66 69,757.11 | 4,815.00 6,534.00 81,106.11 8.91 | 420.60 988.80 6.477.12 925.32 8,986.56 1,229.13 3,334.40 9,793.74 1,443.85 7,297.12 7,297.12 1,443.85 1,443.85 1,443.85 1,443.85 1,443.85 1,144.86 1,146.86 1,146.86 1,146.86 | 1,268.00 1,720.00 57,719.70 | 1,765,432.04 86,828.63 2,747.52 8,598.96 386,865.38 29,096.37 20,096.37 31,04 77,263.04 92,1,6 7,002.45 860,32 25,630.08 97,63.84 5,914.65 1,228.78 5,914.65 1,228.78 1,238.78 | 2,714,924.08 126,990.00 172,325.00 3,014,239.08 |
| Existing Rate/ Mo | 6.91 6.91 11.17 6.93 | | 7.01 13.84 13.84 10.42 19.51 19.51 13.49 15.46 15.46 15.46 16.22 16.23 17.31 17.31 | , , | 8.89 8.69 8.89 8.89 8.89 8.89 8.48 6.48 6.48 6.48 14.17 14.17 14.17 19.0 | |
| kWh Lamp | 42 162 135 135 135 | | 100 100 100 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 | | 74 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 | |
| Billing Units for Test Year | 3,786 669 2,987 937 726 9,105 | | 60 468 132 458 63 220 726 67 472 38 472 38 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 | | 200,836 9,767 424 1,327 43,742 3,273 132 48 5,453 6,27 | 290,867 |
| Total kWh | 280,164 108,378 188,181 126,495 45,738 791,693 | | 7,000 46,800 6,300 6,300 7,102 7,102 14,220 4,008 2,404 5,886 5,896 33,088 33,088 8,400 8,400 8,400 | | 14,861,884 722,758 1,968,390 147,285 664,938 664,938 664,938 617,385 617,385 10,665 53,212 | 20,980,868 |
| į | Mercury Vapor 175-Watt M/Vapor 400 Watt Sodium 150 Watt Sodium Sodium | FAC ES Total STL Per Customer DSTL | Metal Halide Acom 100-Watt Metered Sodium Cobra on Existing Pole Sodium Cobra on Existing Pole Metal Halide Acom 100-Watt Metered Sodium Cobra on 30' Aluminum Pole 14' Fluted Pole Sodium Coba w 30' Aluminum Pole 30' Square Stele Pole Metal Halide Gallena 1000-Watt Metal Halide Gallena 1000-Watt Metal Halide Gallena 1000-Watt Metal Halide Gallena 100-Watt Metal Halide Gallena 100-Watt Metal Halide Laxington 100-Watt Metal Halide Laxington 100-Watt Metal Halide Laxington 100-Watt Metal Halide Callena 400-Watt Metal Halide Sallena 400-Watt Metal Halide Sallena 400-Watt Metal Halide Sallena 400-Watt Metal Halide Sallena 400-Watt | FAC ES Total DSTL | Outdoor Lighting Service MVapor Sec L MVapor Sec L MVapor Sec L MVapor Sec L Sodium Sec L Sodium Sec L Sodium Sec L Sodium Dir 250-Watt Sodium Dir 250-Watt Metal Haide Dir 250-Watt Metal Haide Dir 400-Watt Metal Haide Dir 1000-Watt Metal Haide Dir 250-Watt Metal Haide Dir 250-Watt Metal Haide Dir 1000-Watt Metal Haide Dir 250-Watt Metal Haide Dir 250-Watt Metal Haide Dir 750-Watt M | Total Lighting Total ES Total ES Total S |